## Where does your compiler come from?

Vincent Ambo 2018-03-13

Norwegian Unix User Group

## Introduction

Self-hosted compilers are often built using themselves, for example:

- C-family compilers bootstrap themselves & each other
- (Some!) Common Lisp compilers can bootstrap each other
- rustc bootstraps itself with a previous version
- ... same for many other languages!

It's not just compilers: Languages have runtimes, too.

- JVM is implemented in C++  $\,$
- Erlang-VM is C
- Haskell runtime is C

... we can't ever get away from C, can we?

## Could this be exploited?

((lambda (x) (list x (list 'quote x)))
'(lambda (x) (list x (list 'quote x))))

### Short interlude: Quine Relay



An attack described by Ken Thompson in 1983:

- 1. Modify a compiler to detect when it's compiling itself.
- 2. Let the modification insert *itself* into the new compiler.
- 3. Add arbitrary attack code to the modification.
- 4. *Optional!* Remove the attack from the source after compilation.

### Damage potential?

### Let your imagination run wild!

## Countermeasures

Assume we have:

- Target language compilers A and T
- The source code of A:  $S_A$

Apply the first stage (functional equivalence):

- $X = A(S_A)$
- $Y = T(S_A)$

Apply the second stage (bit-for-bit equivalence):

- $V = X(S_A)$
- $W = Y(S_A)$

Now we have a new problem: Reproducibility!

Bit-for-bit equivalent output is hard, for example:

- Timestamps in output artifacts
- Non-deterministic linking order in concurrent builds
- Non-deterministic VM & memory states in outputs
- Randomness in builds (sic!)

# Without reproducibility, we can never trust that any shipped binary matches the source code!

## (Partial) State of the Union

- 1. Full-source bootstrap!
- 2. All packages reproducible!

- Sparse information on the Debian-wiki
- Bootstrapping discussions mostly resolve around new architectures
- GCC is compiled by depending on previous versions of GCC

Debian has a very active effort for reproducible builds:

- Organised information about reproducibility status
- Over 90% reproducibility in Debian package base!

### Short interlude: Nix



### Short interlude: Nix



### Short interlude: Nix



Nix evaluation can not recurse forever: The bootstrap can not simply depend on a previous GCC.

Workaround: bootstrap-tools tarball from a previous binary cache is fetched and used.

An unfortunate magic binary blob ...

Not all reproducibility patches have been ported from Debian. However: Builds are fully repeatable via the Nix fundamentals!

## **Future Developments**

Hand-rolled "Cthulhu's Path to Madness" hex-programs:

- No non-auditable binary blobs
- Aims for understandability by 70% of programmers
- End goal is a full-source bootstrap of GCC

Bootstrapping the "Maxwell Equations of Software":

- Minimal C-compiler written in Scheme
- Minimal Scheme-interpreter (currently in C, but intended to be rewritten in stage0 macros)
- End goal is full-source bootstrap of the entire GuixSD

- Nix for Darwin is actively maintained
- F-Droid Android repository works towards fully reproducible builds of (open) Android software
- Mobile devices (phones, tablets, etc.) are a lost cause at the moment

Resources:

- bootstrappable.org
- reproducible-builds.org

@tazjin | mail@tazj.in